

Labor market impacts of China shock vs AI shock

China shock · 2001–2010 Why national unemployment stayed low

Displacement force · Manufacturing jobs offshored to China

Import competition destroyed manufacturing jobs ↑ unemployment
Cheap Chinese imports flooded the US market, which led to plant closures and job losses in manufacturing across the US.

Displaced workers got stuck in struggling communities ↑ unemployment
Affected workers didn't bounce back and many never returned to stable employment.

Many displaced workers found jobs in services ↓ unemployment
Studies show that 40% of manufacturing job losses because of the China shock were absorbed within firms as they expanded into services, R&D, and wholesale.

Cheaper inputs helped other US businesses grow ↓ unemployment
Cheaper Chinese inputs helped US manufacturers, retailers, and suppliers grow and hire.

Export gains offset some of the manufacturing losses ↓ unemployment
As China grew wealthier, demand for US exports rose partly making up for jobs lost to import competition.

Hidden unemployment ↓ unemployment
While the China shock was going on another force was also in effect: Workers left the labor force entirely via disability claims or by stopping their job search, masking true joblessness.

AI shock · 2022–? Why national unemployment may stay low

Displacement force · Cognitive & white-collar tasks automated by AI

AI automation reduces need for knowledge workers ↑ unemployment
AI deployed to cut headcount reduces labor share in firms. Entry-level jobs at risk.

AI wipes out middle-skill jobs ↑ unemployment
AI replaces middle-skill cognitive work in tech, legal, accounting, consulting, and finance.

Jevons paradox: Lower input cost creates more demand ↓ unemployment
Business formation is accelerating. Half of post-1980 employment growth came from job titles that didn't exist in 1980.

AI makes the economy bigger, creating new jobs ↓ unemployment
Productivity gains consistently create more jobs than they destroy.

Gradual AI adoption limits disruption ↓ unemployment
Because AI is adopted slowly across firms, yearly job losses stay manageable rather than hitting all at once.

Winners & losers ↓ mixed
AI raises productivity for workers who oversee it, but reduces demand for those it replaces.

Sources: Bloom, Handley, Kurmann & Luck (NBER 2024); Wang, Wei, Yu & Zhu (NBER 2018); Feenstra, Ma & Xu (J. Int'l Econ. 2019); Amiti, Dai, Feenstra & Romalis (NBER 2017); Autor, Dorn & Hanson (Brookings 2021, AER 2013); Autor & Duggan (QJE 2003); Acemoglu, Autor, Dorn, Hanson & Price (J. Labor Econ. 2016); Acemoglu (NBER WP 32487, 2024); Acemoglu & Restrepo (JEP 2019); Autor, Levy & Murnane (QJE 2003); Autor (AEA P&P 2019); IMF SDN 2024/001 & 2026/001; Cazzaniga et al. (ECB 2026); BIS WP 1179; EIB WP 2026/02; OECD Employment Outlook 2023; UK Inst. for Global Change 2024, Apollo Chief Economist